Claims 1-7, 9-14, 16-20, and 22 were pending in the application and all were rejected.

Claims 1, 4, 7, 9, 12 have been amended. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration.

**CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC §103** 

The Office Action finally rejected claims 1-3, 9-12, and 22 under 35 USC 103(a),

as being unpatentable over Schweitzer et al. (US Patent No. 5,850, 450) in view of Hopkins, as

before, and further in view of Kocher et al. (USP 6304658).

The Office Action at page 5 concedes that "The combination of Schweitzer and

Hopkins does not teach: If the public cryptographic key has been revoked abort signing of the

message," but alleges that "However, these features are well known in the art and would have

been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by the combination of Schweitzer and

Hopkins as introduced by Kocher." Applicant respectfully traverses this finding, which appears

to be based on this sentence from Kocher's Abstract: "In addition to producing useful

cryptographic results, a typical leak-resistant cryptographic operation modifies or updates secret

key material in a manner designed to render useless any information about the secrets that may

have previously leaked from the system."

It is apparent from Kocher's Abstract that Kocher does not teach the claimed element

of aborting signing of the message if the *public* key has been revoked. Kocher provides for the

ability to invalidate secret key material associated with a system leak, but Kocher does not abort

signing of a message when the public key is revoked; therefore the Examiner imports into the

9

claim an exemplary limitation drawn from paragraph [0064] of Applicant's disclosure: "[0064]

The generation of the signature value i, y, a is addressed hereafter with regard to some more

mathematical aspects. It is assumed that the message m is to be signed. If the public

cryptographic key pk has been revoked, e.g., because the secret cryptographic key sk has been

leaked, or if i > I, i.e., the maximal number of producable signature values has been reached,

then signing is aborted." "Generally, particular limitations or embodiments appearing in the

specification will not be read into the claims." Loctite Corp. v. Ultraseal Ltd., 781 F.2d 861,

867, 228 USPQ 90, 93 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

The signature scheme as recited in claim 1 is patentable over the cited references

because none of the cited references provide for the limitation of aborting the message signing if

the public cryptographic key has been revoked. Further, claim 1 has been amended to recite an

additional limitation of "publishing a parameter as part of the public cryptographic key, wherein

said parameter controls a time-period during which a user can take to note that the secret

cryptographic key was compromised." None of the cited references teach or suggest this

limitation. Support for this limitation can be found in paragraphs [0077] and [0090] of

Applicant's specification as published in United States Patent Publication Number

2006/0233364.

Independent claims 1 and 12 are patentable over the cited references for at least the

reasons as discussed above. Claims 2-3, 9-11, and 22 are also patentable by virtue of their

dependence on the patentable claims.

10

Serial Number 10/522,472

Docket Number CH920020013US1

**Amendment Page** 11 of 11

The Office Action rejected claims 4-7, 13, 14, and 16-20 under 35 USC 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Hopkins in view of Kocher.

Claims 4-7, 13, 14, and 16-20 are patentable over the cited references because they

depend on claims that contain limitations not taught or suggested by the cited references.

**CONCLUSION** 

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of the pending

claims. The Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, including

any petition for extension of time fees under §1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit

Account Number 50--0510.

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael J. Buchenhorner/

Michael J. Buchenhorner

Reg. No. 33,162

Date: September 8, 2009

Michael Buchenhorner, P.A.

8540 S.W. 83 Street

Miami, Florida 33143

(305) 273-8007 (voice)

(305) 595-9579 (fax)

11